14785 Preston Road, Suite 550 | Dallas, Texas 75254 Phone: 214 732 9359 | Fax: 972 980 7836

Notice of Independent Review Decision

DATE OF REVIEW: 4/30/2014

IRO CASE #

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:

10 sessions of chronic pain management program 5 x week for 2 weeks x 80 units.

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

M.D. Board Certified in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation.

REVIEW OUTCOME

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

⊠ Upheld	(Agree)
Overturned	(Disagree)
☐ Partially Overturned	(Agree in part/Disagree in part)

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

This claimant tripped and fell onto outstretched arms on xx/xx/xx. She had a left humerus fracture and developed left shoulder adhesive capsulitis and left shoulder pain. She had an FCE over 2 months ago indicating she is incapable of heavy duty job demands. She is working at this time. A job description is not provided. She has had several sessions of physical therapy since the fall. She has had a total of about 24 PT visits and a shoulder injection. She currently takes Diltiazem, Lodine, Butalbital-Acetaminophen, Norco, Celexa, Metroprolol and Famotidine. She has a BMI of 34.85. There is a request for a chronic pain program. BAI is 23. BDI is 19.

ANALYSIS FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION. INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS,

Per ODG references, the requested "10 sessions of chronic pain management program 5 x week for 2 weeks x 80 units" is not medically necessary.

Chronic pain programs are recommended where there is access to programs with proven successful outcomes, such as decreased pain and medication use, improved function and return to work and decreased utilization of the health care system. There should be evidence that a complete diagnostic assessment has been made, with a detailed treatment plan of how to address physiologic, psychological and sociologic components that are considered components of the patient's pain. The patient should show evidence of motivation to improve and return to



14785 Preston Road, Suite 550 | Dallas, Texas 75254 Phone: 214 732 9359 | Fax: 972 980 7836

work and meet the selection criteria. The predictors of failure in a CPP are poor work adjustment and satisfaction, a negative outlook about future employments, high levels of pretreatment depression, pain and disability, increased duration of pre-referral disability time, higher levels of opioid use and elevated pre-treatment levels of pain. This claimant is working. Her levels of anxiety and depression are moderate. She has not had lower levels of care. She has had extensive physical therapy. It is not clear if she has had return to work conditioning. The CPP is not supported by the documents provided as the claimant has not exhausted lower levels of care. She is receiving medication management of NSAIDs, opioids and anti-depressants.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

	AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
	DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
	EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
	INTERQUAL CRITERIA
	${\tt MEDICAL\ JUDGEMENT,\ CLINICAL\ EXPERIENCE\ AND\ EXPERTISE\ IN\ ACCORDANCE\ WITH\ ACCEPTED\ MEDICAL\ STANDARDS}$
	MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
	MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
\boxtimes	DDG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
	PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
	TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
	TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES
	TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
	PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
П	OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES